



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/664,855	09/19/2000	Masayuki Enoki	197452US2S	5425

22850 7590 09/03/2003

OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.
1940 DUKE STREET
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314

EXAMINER

NGUYEN, HUY D

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2681

DATE MAILED: 09/03/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/664,855

Applicant(s)

ENOKI ET AL.

Examiner

Huy D Nguyen

Art Unit

2681

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on ____.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) ____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) ____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on ____ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
- If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
- a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) ____.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). ____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: .

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's amendment has introduced new claimed limitation: "start power supply to the receiving section after the first period of time has elapsed". That necessitates a new search. The examiner has added a new reference (Witter - U.S. Patent No. 6,073,035) correspondingly.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claims 2, 4 recite the limitation "the predetermined period of time". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 3, 7-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Salmela et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,181,938) in view of Witter (U.S. Patent No. 6,073,035).

Regarding claims 1, 3, 7-8, Salmela et al. discloses steps for the DMT location updating. In step 2A-1, the dual mode terminal DMT transmits a location update request Loc_Up-

Art Unit: 2681

date_Req. The location update request proceeds to the mobile switching center MSC. In step 2A-2, the mobile switching center MSC/SSP transmits the location update request to the Visitor Location Register VLR, which performs the location updating in step 2A-3. In step 2A-4, the visitor location register VLR transmits an acknowledgement that the location updating has been performed to the mobile switching center MSC, which transmits the acknowledgement further to the mobile terminal DMT in step 2A-5 (FIG. 2A; col. 3, lines 50-65). It is inherent that transmitting section for sending location registration request and receiving section for receiving ACK signal are included in the DMT. Salmela et al. fails to teach step for prohibiting power supply to the receiving section if the ACK signal is not received within a predetermined period of time. Witter teaches a method and apparatus for reducing power consumption in a CDMA wireless telephone. Witter teaches that if an attempt to access a base station has failed, a sleep timer is started in the CPU, and the RX section is powered down to a reduced power mode. After the RX section has powered down, the CPU powers down to complete the transition to the reduced power mode. After the sleep timer expires, the CPU, RX Section and MSM are restored to full power, and the phone again searches the available carriers in an attempt to access a base station [col. 3, lines 15-24]. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, to power down the RX section when the attempt to access a base station has failed as disclosed in Witter since that reduces the power consumption.

Claims 5-6, 9-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Salmela et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,181,938) in view of Jeong (U.S. Patent No. 6,421,539).

Regarding claims 5, 9-10, Salmela et al. fails to disclose an acquiring section for acquiring a second base station if the acknowledge signal transmitted from the first base station

Art Unit: 2681

is not received within a predetermined period of time after the location registration request signal has been transmitted from the transmitting section; and a causing section for transmitting a location registration request signal to the acquired second base station, and causing the receiving section to receive an acknowledge signal from the second base station after the second base station receives the location registration request signal from the transmitting section. Jeong teaches that when the MT moves far into the area of the base station 2, it drops the channel connected with the BTS 1 (20) and terminates the soft handoff. In this case, if the MT completes the call, either normally or abnormally, it is synchronized with the BTS 2 (50) and sends an ack signal. BTS 2 (50) detects the ack signal of the MT and requests to the MT to its register location (col. 6, lines 34-39). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at time the invention was made to modify the DMT in Salmela et al. to have an acquiring section for acquiring a second base station if the acknowledge signal transmitted from the first base station is not received within a predetermined period of time after the location registration request signal has been transmitted from the transmitting section; and a causing section for transmitting a location registration request signal to the acquired second base station, and causing the receiving section to receive an acknowledge signal from the second base station after the second base station receives the location registration request signal from the transmitting section since that would help the mobile terminal establish a better connection.

Regarding claims 6, 11, it is well known in the art that the frequencies of the neighbor base stations are different. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at time the invention was made to have the frequency of the first base station different than that of the second base station to avoid interference.

Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Huy D Nguyen whose telephone number is 703-305-3283. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dwayne Bost can be reached on 703-305-4778. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9314 for regular communications and 703-872-9314 for After Final communications.

Art Unit: 2681

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-6750.

Hu

August 12, 2003



DWAYNE BOST
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600